

REMARKS

Amended claim 1 recites, in part, “the first cutter is moved backward and forward in a direction which crosses over a direction in which the film is conveyed in order to adjust a blade touching between the first cutter and the second cutter.” Amended claim 1 is supported in the specification, for example, on page 12, lines 7 to 19 and Figures 2 and 3.

In contrast, in JP 5146991, a forward and backward direction of a fixed edge 1 is parallel with a direction of conveying a sheet guided by a plane surface 11 of the fixed edge 1. Therefore, JP 5146991 does not describe “the first cutter is moved backward and forward in a direction which crosses over a direction in which the film is conveyed,” as required by the claimed invention.

Further, in JP 2002-211833, as understood from FIG. 11, FIG. 13, and paragraph 0056 in the Specification, a forward and backward direction of blade edge 114 is parallel with a direction of conveying a tape T. Therefore, JP 2002-211833 cannot be relied on solely in support of the obviousness rejection.

In amended claim 1, as compared with a forward and backward direction of a fixed edge that is parallel with a direction of conveying a sheet as described in JP 5146991 and JP 2002-211833, because the first cutter is moved back and forward in a direction which crosses over a direction in which the film is conveyed, even if the first cutter is moved backward and forward, a contact point between the blade edge of the first cutter and a blade edge of the second cutter is not easily changed in the direction in which the film is conveyed, a length of the film which is cut is not easily changed, and thereby the length of the film which is cut can be maintained at a predetermined length. Moreover, the De Torre reference cannot be relied on solely to remedy the deficiencies of JP 5146991 and JP 2002-211833.

Accordingly, the obviousness rejections should be withdrawn.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance and earnestly solicit reconsideration of same.

Respectfully submitted,

BY

Thomas C. Basso (45,541)
Customer No. 29175

Dated: August 2, 2007